

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/693,123		10/20/2000	Michael C. Barney	661005.90268	7800	
26710	7590	08/11/2005		EXAMINER		
QUARLES 411 E. WIS			GHALI, ISIS A D			
SUITE 2040		AVENUE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
MILWAUK	EE, WI	53202-4497	1615			

DATE MAILED: 08/11/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	./					
		09/693,123	BARNEY ET AL.	•					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Isis Ghali	1615						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply									
THE MAI  - Extension after SIX (  - If the peric  - If NO peric  - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT is of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat od for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days od for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the itent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, a cion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum period will apply and will expire SIX (6 a statute, cause the application to become statute.	may a reply be timely filed  of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  MONTHS from the mailing date of this corone ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).						
Status									
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on	21 June 2005.	•						
· ===	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.								
3)□ Sin	· ·								
clo	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4)⊠ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)□ Cla	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Cla	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
7)□ Cla									
8) Cla	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	e specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
-	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119								
<u> </u>	cnowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 H S	S.C. 8 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
	All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
2.[	☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been received	I in Application No						
3.[	☐ Copies of the certified copies of the	e priority documents have	been received in this National S	Stage					
	application from the International E								
* See	the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies	s not received.						
Attachment(s)									
	References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Inter	view Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	18) Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date	450)					
	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ (s)/Mail Date		ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO- pr:	-152)					

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The receipt is acknowledged if applicants' amendment filed 06/21/2005.

Claims 1-4 are included in the prosecution.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,548,552 ('552) in view of US 6,313,178 ('178).

US '552 teaches an absorbent article having additives in a sufficient amount that reduce the toxic shock syndrome toxin production (abstract). The additives are applied to the surface of the absorbent article then dried (col.3, lines 11-20). The absorbent article can be any absorbent article where reduction in toxic shock syndrome toxin production might be beneficial (col.9, lines 50-51).

US '552 does not teach the use of hexahydrolupulone or tetrahydroisohumulone in particular to treat toxic shock syndrome.

US '178 teaches a composition and method for inhibiting the *Staphylococcus* aureus growth. The method comprises contacting the bacteria with an effective amount of hexahydrolupulone (hexahydro-beta acid) or tetrahydroisohumulone (tetrahydroiso-alpha acid). The composition is formulated in an aqueous base water, alcohol, propylene glycol or glycerin. The composition is suitable for topical administration to the

Art Unit: 1615

epidermis (abstract; col.1, lines 30-35; col.2, lines 1-57; col.3, lines 57-62; col.4, lines 63-67; col.5, lines 42, 54-57; col.7, lines 25-29). The hexahydrolupulone and tetrahydroisohumulone are particularly effective against gram-positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus* (col.2, lines 10-15).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to deliver a dry absorbent article that has additives to treat toxic shock syndrome applied to its surface as disclosed by US '552, and replace the additives that treat toxic shock syndrome by hexahydrolupulone or tetrahydroisohumulone as disclosed by US '178, motivated by the teaching of US '178 that hexahydrolupulone or tetrahydroisohumulone are particularly effective against gram positive bacteria such as *Staphylococcus aureus*, with reasonable expectation of having a dry absorbent article with hexahydrolupulone or tetrahydroisohumulone on its surface to inhibit the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* infection, and consequently, controlling toxic shock syndrome with success.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 06/21/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants traverse the obviousness rejection of claim 1-4 over the teachings of US '552 and US '178 by arguing that the combination of the references can not establish a prima *facie case* of obviousness because US '552 teaches agents to reduce TSST production without affecting the growth of normal vaginal flora such as

Application/Control Number: 09/693,123

Art Unit: 1615

Staphylococcus species. US '178 does not provide suggestion to modify US '552 that teaches limiting toxin production without affecting the growth of bacteria such as Staphylococcus species because US '178 teaches inhibition of the growth of Staphylococcus aureus.

In response to the above argument, the examiner is pointing out to the fact that Staphylococcus species, and specifically Staphylococcus aureus, are not normal vaginal flora; alternatively, they are pathogenic bacteria that causes TSST if present in vaginal tampon or perineum area of infants through the diaper. Therefore, the main purpose of US '552 is to inhibit TSST that is caused by Staphylococcus aureus as desired by applicant. US '552 did that by applying an inhibitory agent to Staphylococcus aureus into a personal care product, and that what applicants have done for the same purpose. However, US '552 used specific amount that does not affect the normal beneficial vaginal flora. US '178 is relied upon for the solely teaching of specific acids that inhibit the Staphylococcus aureus. Therefore, in the opinion of the examiner, the only difference between the combined teaching of the cited prior art and the present invention is the claimed amount, which would only take routine experimentation to optimize. In considering the disclosure of the reference, it is proper to take into account not only the specific teachings of the reference but also the inferences which one skilled in the art would reasonably be expected to draw therefrom. In re Preda, 401 F.2d 825, 826, 159 USPQ 342, 344 (CCPA 1968). The reason or motivation to modify the reference may often suggest what the inventor has done, but for a different purpose or to solve different problem. It is not necessary that the prior art suggest the combination

Art Unit: 1615

or modification to achieve the same advantage or result discovered by applicant. *In re Linter*, 458 F.2d 1013, 173 USPQ 560 (CCPA 1972).

In the light of the foregoing discussion, the Examiner's ultimate legal conclusion is that the subject matter defined by the claims would have been *prima facie* obvious over the combined teachings of US '552 and US '178 and within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 103 (a).

#### Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Isis Ghali whose telephone number is (571) 272-0595. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7:00 to 5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/693,123 Page 6

Art Unit: 1615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on (571) 272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Isis Ghali Examiner Art Unit 1615

THURMAN K PAGE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
JECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600